

Docket No. 56873

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: C. Constantinides

SERIAL NO.: 10/044,296

FILED: January 10, 2002

FOR: MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING METHODS AND
COMPOSITIONS**RECEIVED**
FEB 13 2003
OFFICE OF PETITIONSTHE HONORABLE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
BOX DAY
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

SIR:

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Applicant received a Decision on Petition (copy enclosed) dated January 21, 2003.

In response to that Decision, Applicant hereby renews its petition under 37 CFR 1.37(b) filed December 20, 2002. Applicant also submits herewith a check for \$2802.00 for filing and petition fees and formal drawings for the application. The Decision on Petition states that items were omitted from the petition as originally filed on December 20, 2002.

If any other fees are due, please charge such fees to deposit account 04-1105. The USPTO is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned if any other information is needed in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter F. Corless (Reg. 33,860)

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman IP Group

P.O. Box 9169

Boston, MA 02209

(617) 439-4444

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Chris D. Constantinides
Application No.: 10/044,296 Group No.: 3737
Filed: 1/10/2002 Examiner: TBA
For: MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS

BY HAND DELIVERY

Attn.: Ms. Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
2201 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23
Arlington, VA 22202

RECEIVED
FEB 13 2003
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

**COMPLETION OF FILING REQUIREMENTS-SUBMISSION OF FEES
-- NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION**

(check and complete this item, if applicable)

I. ☒ This replies to the Decision on Petition mailed January 21, 2003

NOTE: If these papers are filed before the office letter issues, adequate identification of the original papers should be made, e.g., in addition to the name of the inventor and title of invention, the filing date based on the "Express Mail" procedure, the serial number from the return post card or the attorney's docket number added.

☒ Copies of the Decision on Petition together with a copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application--Filing Date Granted (Form PTO-1533) are enclosed.

NOTE: The PTO requires that a copy of Form PTO-1533 be returned with the response to the notice to file missing parts to the application.

DECLARATION OR OATH

II. ☐ No declaration or oath was filed. Enclosed is the original declaration or oath for this application.

NOTE: If the correct inventor or inventors are not named on filing a nonprovisional application under Section 1.53(b) without an executed oath or declaration under Section 1.63, the later submission of an executed oath or declaration under Section 1.63 during the pendency of the application will act to correct the earlier identification of inventorship. 37 C.F.R. Section 1.48(f)(1).

02/20/2003 10:11:11 AM 00000002 10044296

Adjustment date: 02/20/2003 AKELLEY
02/14/2003 AKELLEY 00000002 10044296
02 FC:1999 -2802.00 0P

(Completion of Filing Requirements--Nonprovisional Application--page 1 of 7)

02/20/2003 AKELLEY 00000002 04 00044296
01 FC:1001
02 FC:1202
03 FC:1203
04 FC:1453
05 FC:1051
06 FC:1460
130.00 CH

OR

- ☐ The declaration or oath that was filed was determined to be defective. A new original oath or declaration is attached.

NOTE: For surcharge fee for filing declaration after filing date complete item VI(3) below.

NOTE: "The following combinations of information supplied in an oath or declaration filed after the filing date are acceptable as minimums for identifying a specification and compliance with any one of the items below will be accepted as complying with the identification requirement of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.63:

(A) application number (consisting of the series code and the serial number, e.g., 08/123,456);

(B) serial number and filing date;

(C) attorney docket number which was on the specification as filed;

(D) title which was on the specification as filed and reference to an attached specification which is both attached to the oath or declaration at the time of execution and submitted with the oath or declaration; or

(E) title which was on the specification as filed and accompanied by a cover letter accurately identifying the application for which it was intended by either the application number (consisting of the series code and the serial number, e.g., 08/123,456), or serial number and filing date. Absent any statement(s) to the contrary, it will be presumed that the application filed in the PTO is the application which the inventor(s) executed by signing the oath or declaration.

M.P.E.P. Section 601.01(a), 7th ed.

NOTE: Another minimum found acceptable in the declaration is the filing date (i.e., date of express mail) and the express mail number, useful where the serial number is not yet known. But note the practice where the express mail deposit is a Saturday, Sunday or holiday within the District of Columbia. 37 C.F.R. Section 1.10(c).

(complete (c) or (d), if applicable)

Attached is a

- (c) ☐ Statement by a registered attorney that the application filed in the PTO is the application that the inventor executed by signing the declaration.
- (d) ☐ Statement that the "attached" specification is a copy of the specification and any amendments thereto that were filed in the PTO to obtain the filing date.
- (e) ☒ Renewed Petition under 37 CFR §1.137(b) together with accompanying documents.

AMENDMENT CANCELLING CLAIMS

III. ☐ Cancel claims _____ inclusive.

TRANSMITTAL OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE PAPERS

- IV. ☐ Submitted herewith is an English translation of the non-English language application papers as originally filed. Also submitted herewith is a statement by the translator of the accuracy of the translation. It is requested that this translation be used as the copy for examination purposes in the PTO.